

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/706,940	11/14/2003	Masashi Isono	024060-146	7417	
21839 7.	590 06/30/2004		EXAMINER		
BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS L L P			LESTER, EVELYN A		
POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2873		
			DATE MAILED: 06/30/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Λ.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/706,940	ISONO, MASASHI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Evelyn A. Lester	2873	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-16</u> is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1 and 6-8</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) 2-5 is/are objected to.	and a standard and the		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 November 2003</u> is/a		=	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		' '	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ul>		)-(d) or (f).	
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		on No	
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •		
application from the International Bureau	-	· ·	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
Attachment/e)			
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11-14-03</u> .	5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)	

## DETAILED ACTION

#### **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meyers (U.S. patent 5,715,096).

Meyers discloses the claimed invention of a three lens element taking lens system (note col. 1, lines 5-9, and Figures 1A-1C, for example), having from the object side, a first lens element having positive optical power(U1), an aperture stop (AS), a second lens element having a positive optical power(U2), and a third lens element having a negative optical power(U3) and having a concave surface pointing to the image side (note Data Table 1A in col. 7), wherein the first and second lens element, one is a glass lens (E1) and the other is a plastic lens (E3). However, Meyers does not explicitly utilize a plastic material for the third lens element, but one of ordinary skill in the art would have known to use plastic for the third lens element because the third lens element is aspheric. It

Application/Control Number: 10/706,940 Page 3

Art Unit: 2873

has long been known in the lens art that to keep the costs of manufacturing low, an aspheric lens is made of plastic. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the lens art to use a plastic material for the third lens element in order to lower the manufacturing costs of the lens system.

With respect to claims 6-8, please note Data Table 1A, in col. 7.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 2-5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. Claims 9-16 are allowed.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest the claimed subject matter of a three element lens taking lens system having the combination of claimed elements and claimed limitations, including as recited in claims 2, 3, 4 and 5, conditional statements which must be met by the combination of claimed elements; and as recited in claim 9, wherein the first and second lens elements, one is a glass meniscus lens element and the other a plastic meniscus lens element, and the third lens element is a plastic meniscus element with a specific orientation to the image side.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,940 Page 4

Art Unit: 2873

#### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Evelyn A. Lester whose telephone number is (571) 272-2332. The examiner can normally be reached on M- F, from about 10 am to 7 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Y. Epps can be reached on (571) 272-2328. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Evelyn A. Lester
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2873